Amendments to the Claims

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions and listings of claims in the application. Please amend the claims as indicated below:

Listing of Claims

- 1. (Currently Amended) A lithographic process for producing a one or more microstructure[[s]] from an SU-8 photoresist, wherein the SU-8 photoresist has a thickness in a range of 1.0 mm to 1.5 mm greater than 0.7 mm, comprising the steps of:
- (i) exposing a prebaked SU-8 photoresist on a substrate to light at a total energy density in a range of about 18,000 to 35,000 mJ/cm², wherein the light comprises a combination of wavelengths including g-line (436nm), h-line (405nm), and i-line (365nm), and wherein the exposing further comprises:
 - (a) exposing the SU-8 photoresist to the light without a filter;
 - (b) exposing the SU-8 photoresist to the light with a first filter that filters out about 80% of the light at 365nm;
 - (c) exposing the SU-8 photoresist to the light with a second filter that filters out about 90% of the light at 365nm; and
 - (d) exposing the SU-8 photoresist to the light with a third filter that filters out all of the light at 365nm;
 - (ii) post-baking the SU-8 photoresist at a temperature of at least about 60°C; and
- (iii) developing the SU-8 photoresist in a solvent, whereby [[a]] the microstructure is produced.

Claims 2–8 (Canceled).

9. (Previously Presented) A process as claimed in claim 1, wherein the SU-8 photoresist is an octafunctional epoxidised novolac resin.

Claims 10–14 (Canceled).

Amdt. Dated: December 30, 2010

15. (Currently Amended) A process as claimed in claim 1, wherein the post-baking step

comprises a two step procedure in which the photoresist is heated to a first temperature of at least

about that is in a range of 60°C to 70°C and subsequently to a second temperature that is in a

range of 90°C to 100°C. higher than the first temperature.

16. (Previously Presented) A process as claimed in claim 1, wherein the method

includes a step of rinsing the developed photoresist after step (iii) followed by drying.

17. (Previously Presented) A microstructure fabricated using the process of claim 1.

18. (Canceled).

19. (Previously Presented) A microstructure as claimed in claim 17, wherein the

microstructure produced by the process comprises an aspect ratio of greater than or equal to 40:1.

20. (Currently Amended) A process as claimed in claim 1, wherein the step of (a)

exposing the SU-8 photoresist to the light without a filter further comprises delivering about

 $1512 \, mJ/cm^2$ to the photoresist.

21. (Currently Amended) A process as claimed in claim 1, wherein the step of (b)

exposing the SU-8 photoresist to the light with a first filter that filters out about 80% of the light

at 365nm further comprises delivering about 2268 mJ/cm² to the photoresist.

22. (Currently Amended) A process as claimed in claim 1, wherein the step of (c)

exposing the SU-8 photoresist to the light with a second filter that filters out about 90% of the

light at 365nm further comprises delivering about 3780 mJ/cm² to the photoresist.

23. (Currently Amended) A process as claimed in claim 1, wherein the step of (d)

exposing the SU-8 photoresist to the light with a third filter that filters out all of the light at

2928248 v01

Appl. No. 10/582,033 Response to Final Office Action Attorney Docket No.: 22128-71925

Amdt. Dated: December 30, 2010

365nm further comprises delivering about 17010 mJ/cm² to the photoresist.

24. (Previously Presented) A process as claimed in claim 1, wherein the light is UV light emitted from a high pressure mercury lamp.